HQS. H
221d

THE DEVELOPMENT OF MODERN PHILOSOPHY

WITH OTHER LECTURES AND ESSAYS

Pages 123-132 missing

BY

ROBERT ADAMSON

M.A., LL.D.

SOMETIME PROFESSOR OF LOGIC AND RHETORIC IN THE UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW

EDITED BY

W. R. SORLEY

M.A., LL.D.

PROFESSOR OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

60159
10/9/03

IN TWO VOLUMES—VOL. I.

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS
EDINBURGH AND LONDON
1903

1

CONTENTS OF VOLUME I.

PAGE

MEMORIAL INTRODUCTION xxxi
BIBLIOGRAPHY xlv

THE DEVELOPMENT OF MODERN PHILOSOPHY.

INTRODUCTION.

The history of philosophy essential for the study of philosophy 1
Continuity as its special characteristic 2
Apparent breach of continuity at the time of the rise of modern philosophy 2
Connexion of philosophical reflexion with scientific thought . 3
Influence of the mathematical sciences in the seventeenth century 4
Influence of Galileo — mechanical theory and theory of method 5

PART I.

DESCARTES AND INTELLECTUALISM.

CHAPTER I.—DESCARTES.

Life and works of Descartes 7

I. Preliminary Investigation.

1. *Descartes' Method.*

The completeness of mathematical demonstration dependent on its method 8
Adequate knowledge obtainable in other subjects where the same method can be applied 9

The general features of this method 10
(1) Apprehension of data in such a way that doubt is impossible (Intuition—Understanding) 10
The connexion between idea and existence, and the indubitable datum 10
'cogito ergo sum' 11
(2) Advance from this position through the common axioms assigned to the 'lumen naturale': these being connexions of ideas apprehended with the same clearness and distinctness as the fundamental datum . . 12
The axiom connecting idea and reality: that the 'objective' reality (or content) of an idea requires a cause in which this content really exists . . . 14
Division of ideas into absolute and relative or infinite and finite 15

2. *The Proofs of the Existence of God.*

The Ontological and Anthropological arguments, and the modification of the latter 16
The positive character of the idea of infinite, perfect being . 17
implied in the Anthropological argument . . . 18
and implying the veracity of God 19
Connexion of the veracity of God with the rule of certainty 19
The origin of error: distinction of understanding, as passive, from will 20
Truth and error 22

II. Systematic Philosophy.

Result of the preliminary investigation . . . 23
Identification of the simple datum with the all-comprehensive (the perfect being) 24
The Absolute and Relative as ground and consequent . . 25
Dependence on the Absolute Substance of finite minds and extended bodies 26
Consciousness and extension: their distinction in nature but combination in experience 27
The divisions of philosophy 27

1. *The Cartesian Physics.*

Fundamental conception: that all processes of nature are explicable by the laws of movement of extended body . 28

Assumption in working out this conception : a constant quantity of movement communicated to extension by God . 29
Identity of the notions 'creation' and 'conservation' . 29
Identification of body and extension : space a plenum . . 30
The mechanical conception of nature and its inadequate elaboration in application to organic life . . . 32

2. *The Cartesian Psychology and Psycho-Physics.*

Difficulty of defining the relation between consciousness as it appears in the 'cogito' and consciousness as the universal ground of all varieties of inner experience . . . 33
The problem from the psycho-physical side :
how we become aware of the existence of external bodies . 34
The antithesis between idea and sensation . . . 34
Sensation as mode of consciousness and sensation as corporeal change 35
'image' as equivalent to the latter . . . 36
No causal relation between consciousness and body . 36
Understanding as the aggregate of ideas, these differing only in degree of clearness and distinctness . . 37
The account of the process of sense-perception, as resting on the above 39
The justification for the reference to external existence dependent on the veracity of God . . . 39
Descartes' relation to Occasionalism 40
The general conception underlying Occasionalism—that of a multiplicity of isolated units—to be traced in the fundamental principles of Descartes . . . 40

CHAPTER II.—MALEBRANCHE.

His development of the Cartesian doctrine of knowledge . 43
The passive or receptive character of understanding . . 44
The kinds of understanding, or knowledge : pure intellection, imagination, sense-perception 44
Knowledge of God : all ideas apprehended as limitations of the infinite 45
Soul not known through an idea : awareness of it due to feeling 46
All objects of knowledge known in God and through God . 47
Knowledge of external things based on intelligible extension 48

Nature of finite souls 48
God neither 'res extensa' nor 'res cogitans' 49
Modes of apprehension 49
Pure intellection simply the presence of an idea, not a modification of the soul 50
Imagination dependent on sense-perception 51
Sense-perception, interpreted by the principle of Occasionalism, as involving both feeling and an idea of some modification of extension 51
External nature (as a system of interconnected changes) without independent being 52
Distinction of ideas from our consciousness of the modifications of mind 52
(*a*) content of ideas universal, perfect, and immutable . 53
(*b*) apprehended essence without the limitations of individual existence 54
Assumption of the 'representative' doctrine of ideas . . 55
Arnauld's objection to the distinction between apprehended content and operation of apprehending . . . 55
Significance of the view which assigns an existential character to ideas or to 'truth' 57

CHAPTER III.—SPINOZA.

Sources of Spinoza's philosophy 58
I. Fundamental notions of his doctrine 59
The method: relation of ground and consequent . . 59
Distinction between Understanding and Imagination . 60
Substance (the Unconditioned) and its Modes (the Conditioned) 61
The notion of Attribute 61
Relation of Attribute and Mode 63
Distinction of Infinite and Finite Modes . . . 63
The Unity of the Universe 64
Position assigned to self-consciousness . . . 64
II. Criticism 65
The conception of Substance wanting in clearness . . 65
indeterminate ground and 'ens realissimum' . . 65
The conception of Substance incomplete . . . 65
no means of connecting with it the infinite diversity of finite modes 66
Assumption involved in the conception of the Unconditioned Ground 66

CHAPTER IV.—LEIBNIZ.

His life and activity 67
Ground of dissatisfaction with Cartesianism . . . 68
His relations with Spinoza 69
Method of treating his philosophy 70

I. Formation of the Central Idea.

1. The more purely philosophical inquiry . . . 71
The disputation on the principle of individuation . . 71
Conception of an activity which constitutes being . . 72
Advance to the determination of a general method of inquiry, essays on the theory of numbers and on symbolic logic 73
appearance of the distinction between truths of reason and truths of experience 73
distinction between nominal and real definitions . . 74
thinking as substitution 74
2. The theory of mechanics 75
(*a*) Objection to the Cartesian laws of motion . . 75
Conception of quantity of moving force . . . 75
(*b*) Mechanical laws and the ground of mechanism . . 76
3. Correspondence with Arnauld leading to formulation of Leibniz's central idea 77
The view that the notion of a thing includes all that happens to it; and Arnauld's doubt regarding this view . . 77
The logical basis of this view: that the predicate of any true proposition inheres in its subject 78
Arnauld's doubt regarding the scope of this proposition . 79
Rejection of the distinction between constitutive and accidental properties 79
Resultant conception: dependence of idea of one individual upon the ideas of all others; connectedness of all things in the universe; each unit a complete world . . 79
Concomitance of substances 80
Arnauld's objection regarding soul and body . . 81
Expression of the universe by each substance . . 82
Latent modifications of mind 83
Nature in its mechanical aspect, no true unit, nor reality 85
Resultant view of the whole of existence . . . 87

II. Review of Leibniz's Philosophy.

1. Phenomenal existence of perceived things . . . 88
2. Systematic view of Reality 90
Unity and activity as characteristics of reality . . 90
Plurality or multiplicity assumed 91
Significance of the Pre-established Harmony . . 92
The conception of Development 93
Its relation to the unity of the monad . . . 93
Psychical nature of the monad 95
Degrees of psychical life 95
Origin of knowledge 96
Connexion of the various grades of apprehension . 97
3. Criticism of Leibniz's Doctrine 97
Identification of externality to monad with indistinctness of self-knowledge 98
Space and time as confused representations of intelligible relations 99
Matter as 'permanent possibility of sensations' . . 100
Mechanical laws as the most general forms of the orderly connexion of sensations 100
Their metaphysical interpretation 100
Inadequacy of the principle of 'choice of the best' . 101
Composite substances not really admissible on Leibniz's theory 101
Same irresolvable problem to be found
in the distinction of truths of reason and fact . . 102
the latter of these incompletely reduced to the former: contingency 103
and in distinction of essence and existence . . . 104
the latter requiring fiat of divine will . . . 104
What the principle of 'choice of the best' leaves unexplained 104
Ideal and real grounds 105
The position assigned to God in Leibniz's system . . 105
external relation of God to the monads . . . 106
and consequent groundlessness of assumption that monads represent God 106
Determinism 107
Optimism 107
General estimate 109

PART II.

ENGLISH EMPIRICISM.

CHAPTER I.—LOCKE.

Locke's epistemological problem 111
and psychological method 112
The method and its assumptions 112
Two conflicting senses of 'idea' 113
Sensation and Reflexion as distinct sources of two distinct kinds of simple content 114
The composition of the simple elements 115
The treatment of simple ideas illustrative of the conflict of psychological and epistemological principles . . 117
The two significations of 'idea' distinguished in the account of knowledge 118
Ideal and real propositions 118
certainty and generality limited to the former . . 120
exceptions to this limitation 121
Account of reasoning 121
The assumption that a relation between the contents of two ideas can be apprehended without reference beyond these contents themselves 122

CHAPTER II.—BERKELEY.

His dependence upon and modifications of Locke . . 124
insistence on the correlation of mind with ideas . . 125
agreement with the view of ideas as isolated mental events . 126
1. Metaphysical doctrine 127
Nature or the real external world 127
its meaning for the individual mind . . . 127
its dependence on the infinite mind . . . 129
2. Theory of knowledge 129
Knowledge of nature reduced to given ideas grouped in a given manner 129
nature of the apprehension of general laws . . 130
nature of reasoning 130
isolation of the individual idea, the underlying assumption of this view 130

Knowledge of spirits: nature of this left obscure . . 131
Partial approximation of the two spheres of knowledge to be found in Berkeley's view of the aim of knowledge as practical 132

CHAPTER III.—HUME.

Hume's appreciation of the scope and consequences of the doctrine that all our knowledge consists of the ideas present in mind 133
The motive of his speculations the opposition between the epistemological and psychological senses of idea . . 134
The constituents of experience according to Hume . . 134
Reduction of 'faculties' to differences in the grouping of ideas, and in the mode of having ideas (Belief) . . 135
Belief the means of making the transition from ideas to existence ('matter of fact') 135
Isolation of ideas as limiting rational knowledge by the criterion of self-contradiction 136
Unsatisfactory position assigned to mathematics midway between relations of ideas and matter of fact . . 137
Locke's view of mathematical knowledge . . . 137
Hume's view in the 'Enquiry' 138
irreconcilable with his principles 138
In the 'Human Nature' mathematical propositions regarded as empirical 139
Exception as to arithmetic 139
Explanation of the apprehension of existence . . . 140
The belief involved in memory due to the intensity of the idea 140
Similar explanation offered of reasoning concerning matters of fact 141
The notion of Real Dependence (Causation) and the process of Reasoning 141
Analysis of the notion of Real Dependence . . 141
Analysis of the reasoning process . . . 142
No synthetic operation in mind 143
necessity does not exist in objects . . . 143
Hume's theory of belief 144
Consequences of the theory 145

PART III.

THE PHILOSOPHY OF KANT.

CHAPTER I.—TRANSITION TO THE CRITICAL METHOD.

Identical result of the philosophies of Leibniz and Locke: the severance of mind from reality 147
General note of the Kantian system: reinstatement of mind as in vital relation to reality 148
Gradual perception of the imperfection of preceding methods 149
As to Leibniz's view of knowledge 149
Leibniz's interpretation of space rendered doubtful by facts furnished by geometrical figures . . 150
'Incongruent counterparts' suggest an absolute space . 151
Mathematical method not mere analysis of notions . 151
Result reached in Kant's first essays 153
(*a*) mathematical knowledge as involving a reference to a real factor in experience 153
(*b*) distinction of sense-perception and pure intellect . 153
(*c*) question as to the ground of our knowledge of real fact . 154
The Dissertation of 1770 as indicating a transition-stage in Kant's thinking 154
Antithesis between sense and intellect 155
illustrated in the conflict of opinions regarding space and time 155
Definition of the nature and conditions of the two processes 156
Duality of function in sense-perception . . . 158
a priori character of space and time . . . 158
Double function of Intellect 159
logical and real notions 160
Their application to experience not explained . 161
Statement of the question: how an idea in us can relate to an object 162

CHAPTER II.—THE CRITICAL METHOD.

Application of the term 'critical method,' generally, to any inquiry into the justification of supposed principles of knowledge 165

Essential characteristic of the critical method, in the special sense 165
insistence on the examination of knowledge from the inner point of view 166
This inner point of view not always kept separate by Kant from the external point of view appropriate to the (psychological) inquiry into the real conditions of knowledge as a matter of fact 167
The confusion increased by his way of putting the question as to the conditions of the possibility of knowledge 167
This question connected with the opposition of Synthesis and Analysis in knowledge . . . 168
The question 'how synthetical *a priori* propositions are possible' needlessly limited in scope . . . 170
The critical view in conflict with dogmatic assumptions regarding
(*a*) relation of mind to objects 171
(*b*) relation of experience to reality 171

CHAPTER III.—THE FORMS OF INTUITION.

Different applications of the questions regarding the possibility of synthetic *a priori* propositions 173
Corresponding divisions of the Critique . . . 174
The Transcendental Æsthetic 175
Its relation to the Dissertation of 1770 . . . 175
Form and matter in sense-perception 176
The space- and time-forms 176
Metaphysical exposition 176
Transcendental treatment 179
(meaning of 'Synthesis' for Kant) . . . 179
'Real' and 'ideal' as applied to space and time . . 180

CHAPTER IV.—THE NOTIONS OF UNDERSTANDING.

Notion connected with reality only through intuition . . 182
The discovery of the Categories 183
The justification or Deduction of the Categories . . 184
Rejection of inadequate methods 184
The Deduction as the determination of what is involved in the Synthesis (of sense-given material) required for knowledge 185

Two points of view combined in the treatment . . . 185
(*a*) an analysis, from the inner point of view, of the act of 'being aware' 185
(*b*) an account, from a relatively external point of view, of the ways in which our experience is given . . 185
The Deduction of the first edition as, on the whole, from the latter point of view 185
Its result: a determined order in experience, as the correlative of the unity of consciousness, a condition of the possibility of experience or knowledge . . . 188
The Deduction of the second edition 189
That the synthesis requires given elements, but is itself an activity of thought 189
The function of understanding 190
The realisation of this function through the Schemata . . 191
A priori judgments regarding experience . . . 192
The Principles of Pure Understanding 193
mathematical and dynamical principles . . . 194
Axioms of intuition 194
Anticipations of perception 195
Analogies of experience 196
significance of 'analogy' 197
nature of the analogies 197
The Permanence of Substance 198
Causality 199
Reciprocity 200
Postulates of empirical thought 202
Result of the analysis of understanding 204

CHAPTER V.—THE IDEAS OF REASON.

The function of Reason 206
Its most positive determination as the faculty which seeks for unity 207
The Ideas of Reason: how arrived at 208
Distinction of phenomena and noumena . . . 210
(i) The Paralogisms 211
(ii) The Antinomies 212
The solution of the antinomies 213
mathematical 214
and dynamical 215
(iii) The Ideal of Pure Reason 217
The Idea of the Absolute 217
how it is arrived at 217

The Ontological argument fundamental . . . 219
Kant's criticism of it 220
The Ideas of Reason as 'regulative' 221
The reference to things-in-themselves in Kant's doctrine of knowledge 223
Insolubility of the problem on the side of pure knowledge . 224
Adaptation of nature to our understanding . . . 225
This adaptation contingent only, giving only a hypothesis regarding the structure of things-in-themselves . 225
More specific ways of determining this realm . . 226
(*a*) through the æsthetic judgments . . . 226
(*b*) through the purpose exhibited in organic life . . 227
(*c*) on the side of practice, through the law of duty . 229

CHAPTER VI.—FOUR POINTS OF KANTIAN DOCTRINE.

I. The Thing-in-itself.

Different lines of reflexion which meet in the notion of the thing-in-itself 230
(1) mechanical mode of representing the way in which sense-impressions are given 230
(2) Cartesian view of knowledge as representing something other than itself 231
(3) as expressing limitation of our knowledge (thing-in-itself =unconditioned) 231
(1) The first mode of conceiving the thing-in-itself . . 231
Ground for this mode of conceiving 231
Its connexion with Subjective Idealism . . . 233
criticism of Subjective Idealism 233
Sensation not to be explained by the unknown . . 234
(2) The conception of the transcendental object . . 235
how it arises 235
(3) The thing-in-itself as indicating the limit to the application of the Categories 236
Criticism of Kant's view 237
(*a*) the distinction between object as the determined order whereby representations become perceptions, and representations as free ideas 237
Whether this distinction can be explained as the result of a unique act of thought 237
The unity of self not a primary datum . . . 238
(*b*) Kant's view of the transcendental object as bearing on the meaning of 'thing-in-itself' 238

Two ways only of avoiding the interpretation of this view as Subjective Idealism 239
(α) the method of later Idealism: that the self-consciousness referred to is not individual but absolute . 239
(β) the method (on the whole, Kant's) of regarding external and internal intuition as having an irreducible difference 239
This method not really successful 240

II. The Doctrine of Inner Sense.

Correspondence between inner and outer sense . . . 240
Difficulty as to the application of the Categories to the material of inner sense 241
Space-intuition required for the principle of permanence . 241
Inner and outer difficult to distinguish, seeing that time is a form of all experience 241
A duplication of the world of inner objects involved in representing its material as impressions upon a sense 242
Fundamental error in Kant's analysis 242
Denial of *a priori* knowledge in respect to mind . . 243
endangers Kantian doctrine by admitting some kind of apprehension without the work of understanding . 243

III. The Meaning of 'A Priori.'

Distinction between the psychological *a priori* (which has reference to time) and the logical (which has respect only to validity) 244
Additional shade of meaning: the *a priori* as that which is furnished by mind itself 245
Irrelevance of all discussion of origin for determining validity 245
Strict adherence to the logical question required in order to harmonise Kant's analysis of understanding with the development of consciousness 246
A priori as = necessary condition of self-consciousness . 247

IV. Antithesis between Mechanism and Freedom.

The conditions of practical consciousness . . . 247
Reasons for selecting freedom as a condition connected with the doctrine of the inner sense 248

Opposition of mechanism and freedom as implying a substantive existence of the pure ego not assigned to it in the theory of knowledge 249
and giving positive significance to the notion of the thing-in-itself 249
No justification for this view in speculative reason . 250
though the conception of the intelligibility of nature might yield the idea of nature as the expression of mind 250
Relation of Kant's final conception to Berkeley's theological idealism 250
Subjective Idealism never completely overcome . . 251

PART IV.

POST-KANTIAN IDEALISM.

CHAPTER I.—FICHTE.

General characteristic of post-Kantian idealism . . 253
the treatment of ultimate metaphysical ideas not as subjective but as objective 253
Fichte's insight into the opposition running through the Kantian doctrine 255
The central fact of experience held by him to be what Kant called unity of consciousness 256
The interconnexions of experience elaborated from this central fact by a necessary process 256
Explanation of the artificiality of his method . . 256
Derivation of the element of distinction . . . 257
Each stage of development to be determined from within . 258
Method descriptive of the evolution of self-consciousness . 259
What is involved in the assumption that experience is the self-evolution of the Ego 259
The not-self made to appear as a product of the activity of the Ego: Fichte's answer 260
(*a*) distinction between speculation and life . . 260
(*b*) The Ego not the individual person . . . 260
(*c*) In theoretical cognition, no escape from pure idealism; but in so far as Ego is practical a higher form of reality belongs to the non-ego 261
Later view on the relation between the finite self and the absolute 262

CHAPTER II.—SCHELLING.

1. Philosophy of nature 264
Objection to Fichte's view of nature as mere limitation . 265
Nature and spirit equally independent in the total development 265
Transcendental philosophy 266
Progressive advance from theoretical, through practical, to artistic consciousness 266
2. Philosophy of identity 267
Nature and Spirit as the expressions of one Identical principle 267
The Spinozism of Schelling 268
3. Antithesis of positive and negative philosophy . . 269

CHAPTER III.—HEGEL.

The conception of historical development . . . 271
The Eighteenth century attitude 271
Profounder view in Lessing and Herder . . . 272
General features of Hegel's idealism 273
(1) insistence on the concrete historical character of mind . 273
Mind or spirit the principle of all reality, the system of pure thoughts being its nature laid out in the abstract 274
(2) Organic interconnexion of these thoughts . . . 274
(3) The Dialectic method 275
The complete system and its parts . . . 276
abstraction, contradiction, to be escaped only in the system as a whole 277
Historical connexion of Hegel with Kant . . . 278
Hegel's interpretation of the Dialectic in relation to the principle of Contradiction 279
Self-conscious spirit the highest form of reality . . . 280
Nature in relation to mind 280
Divisions of the speculative view of reality . . . 281
Finite minds and infinite intelligence 281

PART V.

SUGGESTIONS TOWARDS A THEORY OF KNOWLEDGE BASED ON THE KANTIAN.

CHAPTER I.—SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE.

Kant's rejection of Cartesian and Subjective Idealism . . 283
Two factors of fundamental importance in his doctrine of external perception:
(*a*) space-qualification of certain presentations giving immediacy 284
(*b*) reference to object due to understanding, thus suggesting mediate perception 284
And basis of Subjective Idealism adopted in the view that external objects are simply determined complexes of presentation 284
Examination of this view 284
If apprehension limited to subjective experience, then the term 'subjective' itself deprived of significance . . 284
Confusion between the propositions:
(*a*) that knowledge is a modification of consciousness . 285
(*b*) that knowledge has consciousness for its object . 285
Criticism of the latter 285
Assumption in the Cartesian Idealism that the subject determines his own experience as subjective . . . 285
The recognition of inner experience as subjective neither chronologically nor logically prior to external perception 286
The true order of experience inverted by the Kantian representation 288
Origin of the antithesis of inner and outer . . . 288
The trans-subjective reference not directly given in sensation 289
The primitive characteristic out of which objectivity is developed to be found in the space-character of certain contents 291
Space not to be regarded as a condition imposed by the subject 291
Space rightly regarded as perceptive rather than conceptive . 293
but undergoes conceptual development . . . 293
Space correctly called the 'form' of outer sense . . 294
but not as being contributed by mind . . . 294

Space the characteristic of the object perceived; but no absolute distinction between perception and reflective process of thought 295
Perceptual and conceptual space 296
Quantity as applied to space 296
Estimation of magnitude of space: number . . 297
Infinity of space 298
The contradictions in space as conceived the result of abstraction 299

CHAPTER II.—CHANGE AND TIME.

Change the foundation of any representation of time . . 301
The view that the nature of reality excludes change . . 302
Herbart. 302
Atomism carried to ultimate degree of abstraction . 303
The question not solved by assuming changeable relations among the unchanging 'reals' 304
Kantian doctrine of Time as a form of the perceiving subject; and its relation to the reality of change . . . 305
Inconceivability of Kant's view if the perceiving subject be taken to mean the finite mind 306
though subjective features present in developed conception of Time 307
Similarly Change not phenomenal merely—not due to perceiving subject: the subject not to be thus distinguished from reality 308
To accept change as a fundamental feature of reality does not render reality self-contradictory: the contradiction arising only from the incompleteness of the notions applied 308
No contradiction in the completed notion of change . 309
Lotze's view that the contradictions arise solely from the difference between perception and conception . . . 310
The question whether our representation of time accurately corresponds to the metaphysical truth that the real is changeable 311
Differences in our time-representation . . . 311
Space-elements in the conception of time . . . 312
Representation of time not possible as a single perception . 313
Significance of the timelessness ascribed to reality . . 314
a characteristic of the apprehension of existence . . 315

CHAPTER III.—CAUSATION.

The changing reality represented as a unity or whole, yet never presentable as one object 316
How changes are represented as involving constancy . 316
The Kantian explanation: representation of order in time-changes derived from definite self-consciousness . . 317
Representation with which we start in applying causal relation to experience derived mainly from
(1) constancy of succession in experienced changes . . 317
(2) relatively subjective factor called 'force' . . . 317
the latter requiring distinction between own action and behaviour of external things, and therefore some apprehension of constancy of connexion . . . 317
Features of the problem of causation involved in the representation of our own action as giving rise to an effect . 318
(*a*) change,—represented as produced by our action . 318
(*b*) generalisation 318
Not the process of generalisation, but the conditions justifying generalisation, form the special problem in causality 319
Nature and development of our representation of an orderly course of nature 319
Principle of intelligibility of nature completely relative and a consequence of the correlation of subject and object . 319
The first rudimentary representations of this principle modified by experience, which gives increasing preponderance to constancy 319
First concrete pictures of causal connexion given by these constancies 320
Further elaboration due to increased power of determining content of changes: our experience operating as an instrument of analysis 320
Defect of the purely empirical doctrine of causation . . 320
Lotze's doctrine of the causal conception . . . 321
Action as involving the relation of one thing to another . 321
Difficulties in the notion of 'acting on another' . . 322
Two kinds of relation 322
How reality must be represented so as to admit of
(1) action being represented as a connected modification of both things concerned 323
(2) the modification being not external to the things . 323
(*a*) possible only through the representation of all reality as a connected whole 323

(*b*) possible through reality being of nature of mind . 324
No independent existence but mind 324
Relation of Lotze's to the Berkeleian view . . . 324
The causal connexion in experience 325
Neither influence nor necessary conjunction between cause and effect
immediately apprehended in experience . . . 325
nor supplied by the activity of the relating understanding 326
Kant's answer to Hume: that the thought of objective connexion is necessary for the experience wherein the distinction between subject and object is given 326
Defect of the answer: the distinction between conscious subject and objective world not due to a unique power on the part of the subject . . 327
What is added to the given appearance, in the representation of cause and effect 327
in what sense due to 'thought' 327
Spatio-temporal character of the content apprehended as causally connected. 328
As regards time: causes and effects of the nature of distinctions drawn within a process which is really continuous 328
As regards space: its continuity inconsistent with the absolute independence of the things called causes and effects in the objective world . . . 329
Causal connexion, accordingly, not between isolated parts but between aspects of a connected whole . . 330
The features of the total process which constitute the content 330
Constancy of connexion 330
originally, as repetition in time of similar conjunctions of concrete appearances 330
Necessity of resolving these into simpler components. 330
nature of an element identical with its mode of operation. 331
Causal connexion as constant order or type of process . 331
Further questions 332
(1) What truth there is in Kant's view of Causation as the content of a notion necessarily involved in the apprehension of objective reality 332
The abstract or generalised element not prior to the concrete 332
nor implicit in it in the sense of being reached from the simple experience alone 333
Concrete specimens of constancy of relation, not the ab-

stract notion of cause, a necessary element in any apprehension of the objective world . . . 334
And this necessity, the necessity of fact . . . 334
(2) Whether the relation of constancy of sequence can be further defined 335
Continuity and coexistence: the 'identity of cause and effect'. 335
Cause and effect the same process looked at from two different points of view; and 'force' a generalised expression for work done 336
This generalisation a methodic principle for testing empirical generalisations, not an *a priori* axiom . . 337

CHAPTER IV.—THOUGHT AND REALITY.

The interconnected system, represented by Causality, a point of transition 338
Conception of a higher unity of reason, or of thought . . 338
Difference from reciprocal determination
of thought, regarded as the timeless system of contents called truth 339
of thought, in the concrete, as the inner life . . 339
Hegel's treatment of the transition . . . 340
Minor difficulty in the notion of reciprocity arising from considering the parts of the whole, and the whole itself, in isolation 341
Two main aspects of the problem 342
(1) The more special form of the problem: the relation of the mechanical to the psychical 343
First representation of the relation based on familiar experiences of sensation and volition. . . 343
Impossibility of applying the relation there on the same lines as in the mechanism of nature . . . 343
The disparity of the two realms accentuated by the modified conception of a causal relation between the connected whole of nature and the connected whole of a subject's experiences 344
But difficulty of giving a connected representation of the psychical realm 344
The Theory of Occasionalism a result of this perplexity . 345
Psycho-physical parallelism an improvement on Occasionalism only if supplemented by a unifying hypothesis. 346
View that the material system rests upon consciousness (or something more like consciousness than matter) . 346

Criticism of this view 347
(*a*) no definite ground for the assertion that the real core of existence is of the nature of consciousness . 347
(*b*) the reference to a substratum devoid of the properties which characterise external nature for sense . 348
(*a*) implies what is peculiar to Subjective Idealism . 348
(*β*) raises the problem of the relation between what exists in itself and its manifestation . . 348
The true form of the question concerned with the limitation of our knowledge 349
and this dependent upon the position of the thinking mind as part of the total process called 'reality' . 349
True meaning of 'reality as a whole': that nothing is given without intelligible connexion . . 350
Mind and body parts of one system, though qualitatively distinct 350
Reference to the qualitative excluded by the mechanical theory 351
That theory, by its abstractness, inadequate to the whole facts even of external nature . . 351
Occasionalism, parallelism, and the popular view, alike in initial assumption and its attendant difficulties . 353
Their assumption of the independence of mind a final obstacle to any intelligible synthesis . . 353
Criticism of this assumption 354
whether the psychical is apprehended as a series of distinct events 354
The generic character of the inner life: immediate experience of, or relative to, the mechanical . . . 355
Relation of this to the general determination of real existence already arrived at 356
(2) The more abstract form of the problem: the relation of truth to fact 356
Psychologically, the same antithesis found between perception and thought, and not absolute . . 356
Objectively, order of truth apparently distinguished from order of fact by its timelessness . . 356
Synthetic connexion involved in the abstract order of thought only in so far as it is a development from perception 358
All logical necessity based on the necessity of fact . 358
Abstraction from time a mark not of thinking only but of all conscious apprehension . . . 358