Later Medieval Philosophy (1150-1350) An Introduction John Marenbon

> B 721 .M339 1989

> > Indiana Purdue Library Fort Wayne

ROUTLEDGE & KEGAN PAUL London and New York

Contents

	Acknowledgments	xi
	References to primary sources	XII
	Introduction	I
Part One		
I	Teaching and learning in the universities	7
	The institutional development of Paris and Oxford as universities	7
	Methods and organization of studies before the thirteenth century	0
	(I) Texts, commentaries and the origin of	9
	the faculties	9
	(2) The beginnings of the quaestio-technique	10
	University organization from the thirteenth century	14
	(1) The faculty of arts	14
	(2) The mendicants in the university (3) Methods of teaching in the thirteenth	15
	and fourteenth centuries	16
	(i) Reading	16
	(ii) Disputation	19
	(4) A university student's career	20
	The forms of logical, philosophical and theological	
	writing	24
	(1) Types of text which record university teaching	24
	(2) Types of university text which do not	-4
	record university teaching	26
	The form and later development of the quaestio	27
	(I) The form of the quaestio in the middle	-/
	and late thirteenth century	27
	(2) The form of the quaestio in the	

	fourteenth century	31
	(3) Addendum: a note on nomenclature -	
	distinctions, articles and quaestiones	33
2	The techniques of logic	35
	The logica vetus, logica nova and logica	00
	modernorum	35
	Logic and a conceptual vocabulary: the Isagoge	in in
	and the Categories	36
	Logic and argument: De Interpretatione and the	
	Prior Analytics	38
	(1) Syllogistic reasoning	38
	(2) Topical reasoning	40
	Logic and interpretation: the De Sophisticis	
	Elenchis and the theory of the properties of terms	41
	(1) The properties of terms: De Sophisticis	
	Elenchis and the origins of the theory	41
	(2) The varieties of supposition	42
	(3) Natural supposition, accidental	
	supposition and signification	45
	Logic and scientific method: the Posterior Analytics	47
3	Philosophy: the ancients, the Arabs and the Jews	50
	Greek, Arabic and Jewish philosophy: the	
	translations	50
	(1) Translations of Aristotle	50
	(2) Translations of antique and Arab	
	commentaries on Aristotle	52
	(3) Translations of other ancient, Arabic	
	and Jewish material	53
	Greek, Arabic and Jewish philosophy: availability	-
	and use	53
	(I) The use of the translations in the	
	twelfth century	53
	(2) Aristotle and Avicenna in the	-
	universities, 1200-1215	54
	(3) Aristotle, Avicenna and Averroes in the	
	universities, 1215-1240	56
	(4) The full introduction of the new	
	material in the universities, 1240-1270	57
	Greek, Arabic and Jewish philosophy: scope and	2.1
	subjects	.58
	(I) Greek philosophy	58
		-

95

97

(1) The distinction between philosophy and	
theology: 'separationism'	83
(2) Christian philosophy	85
(3) The modern analytical approach	85
Historical and philosophical justification for	
the different approaches	87
A fourth approach: 'historical analysis'	89
Intellectual knowledge/the problem and its	
Intellectual knowledge: the problem and its	
sources	93
The problem of intellectual knowledge	93
Sources: the intellect and its knowledge in ancient	
thought	94
(I) Plato and the Platonists	94
(2) Aristotle	95

(i) Potency and act, matter and form, soul

and body in the De Anima

(ii) Sensation and thought

(2) Islamic philosophy

philosophy

(3) Jewish philosophy

Philosophia and the arts faculty

(2) The eternity of the world

Revealed and philosophical theology

(2) Is theology a science?

philosophy?

(3) The condemnations of 1277

Theology as a discipline and as a science

and opportunities

4

Part Two

5

(iii) Algazel and Averroes

	(iii) The potential intellect and the active intellect	99
	(iv) How does the Aristotelian view of	11
	intellect differ from Plato's?	IOI
	(3) Antique and Arab interpretations of the	
	two intellects	102
	Sources: two Arab Aristotelians on the intellect	103
	(1) Avicenna	103
	(2) Averroes	106
6	William of Auvergne	109
	William's works and their background: Aristotle	
	and Avicenna	109
	The intellect and the world	110
	(1) The intellect does not receive forms but	
	uses signs	110
	(2) How intellectual knowledge is acquired	III
	The intellectual cognition of singulars	113
	Conclusion	115
7	Thomas Aquinas	116
	Aquinas the Aristotelian?	116
	Aquinas the theologian and the intellect in man and	
	angels	117
	(1) The sources of intellectual knowledge (2) The process of cognition: intellect in	118
	angels, reason in man	119
	Body, soul and the object of human knowledge	122
	(I) The soul is the form of the body	122
	(2) The object of human knowledge	123
	The process of cognition in the human intellective soul	124
	(1) Apprehending a quiddity	124
	(2) Forming a definition; compounding and	
	dividing and mental discourse	125
	The intellectual cognition of individuals	128
	(1) Thinking and phantasmata	128
	(2) Indirect intellectual cognition of	
	individuals	129
	(3) Direct intellectual cognition of	
	individuals by God	129
	Conclusion	130

8 Modes and intentions: some arts masters on

intellectual knowledge	132
Modes and intentions: arts masters and theologians	132
Modes	133
(I) Aquinas	134
(2) Martin and Boethius of Dacia	135
(3) Radulphus Brito	138
Intentions	139
(1) Avicenna and Aquinas	139
(2) Radulphus Brito	140
Conclusion	143
9 Henry of Ghent	144
A new approach to Henry's discussion of	
intellectual knowledge	144
The earlier stage	145
(I) Knowing the truth of things: Henry's	
Aristotelian account	145
(2) Knowing the absolute truth of things:	
Henry's illuminationist account	146
(3) Knowing the truth about things	147
(4) Truth and certainty: the relations	
between Henry's Aristotelian and his	
illuminationist accounts	147
The later account	149
(1) The abandonment of impressed	
intelligible species	149
(2) The process of intellectual cognition in	
Henry's revised theory	151
(3) The intellectual cognition of singulars	152
Conclusion	152
10 Duns Scotus: intuition and memory	154
Scotus and Scotism	154
Intelligible species and the dignity of the soul	155
Singulars, the formal distinction and intuitive	
cognition	156
(I) Intuition and the problem of the	/
intellectual cognition of singulars	156
(2) Formal distinctions and intellectual	
cognition	157
(3) Intuitive and abstractive cognition in	0
Scotus	158
(4) Can our intellect cognize intuitively in	
this life?	158

	Memory	160
	(1) Aristotle's De Memoria et Reminiscentia	
	and Aquinas's commentary	160
	(2) Aguinas on memory in the Summa	
	Theologiae	161
	(3) Scotus on memory	162
	(i) Sensitive memory, phantasmata and	
	recollection	163
	(ii) Intellectual memory: the problem of	100
	interpreting Scotus	164
	(iii) Intellectual memory: clarification and	1.04
	summary of Scotus's theory	166
	Scotus's theory of intuitive knowledge in the light	100
	of his theory of memory	168
	Conclusion	169
	Contrasion	109
11	William of Ockham	170
4.4	Ockham the innovator	170
	Ockham on universals and intelligible species	171
	(1) What was Ockham's anti-realism?	171
	(2) Ockham's views on distinctions	173
	(i) Arguments against the distinction of	1/3
	things by reason	172
	(ii) Arguments against formal distinctions	173
	(3) Ockham's attack on sophisticated realism	175
	(4) Ockham's attack on the concept of	1/5
	impressed intelligible species	100
		177
	The language of the mind	178
	(1) Thoughts and things: Ockham's earlier	0
	theory	178
	(2) Thoughts and things: Ockham's later	
	theory	179
	(3) The relations between things, spoken	0
	language and mental language	181
	Knowing the truth	182
	(I) Evident knowledge and intellectual	
	intuition	182
	(2) God's absolute power and the	
	intuition of non-existents	184
	(3) Intuitive knowledge and memory	185
	Conclusion	187
	(1) Ockham and theology	187
	(2) Ockham's successors	187

	Contents xi
Conclusion to Part Two	189
Abbreviations	192
Bibliography	194
Section 1: Primary sources	194
Part (i) Latin translations used by m	redieval
thinkers	1944
Part (ii) Medieval Latin texts	197
Section II: Secondary works	204
Index	225

Acknowledgments

I should like to thank Dr S. Lawlor for comments on early versions of this book; the anonymous reader engaged by my publisher and Dr O. Letwin for their very thorough and valuable criticisms of a later draft.

John Marenbon, Trinity College, Cambridge, 2 June 1986.