Balfour Philosophical Lectures,
University of Edinburgh

SCOTTISH PHILOSOPHY

A COMPARISON OF THE SCOTTISH AND GERMAN ANSWERS TO HUME

BY

ANDREW SETH, M.A.

PROFESSOR OF LOGIC AND PHILOSOPHY
IN THE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SOUTH WALES
AND MONMOUTHSHIRE

REESE LIBRARY
OF THE
UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS
EDINBURGH AND LONDON
MDCCCLXXXV

Digitized by Google

All Rights reserved

CONTENTS.

LECTURE I.

THE PHILOSOPHICAL PRESUPPOSITIONS: DESCARTES AND LOCKE.

PAGE

The choice of subject explained—Reid's view of Hume as the outcome of "the Cartesian system"—Subjectivity of Modern Philosophy—Defects of Descartes' starting-point—The two-substance doctrine—Failure of Cartesianism to explain Perception—Locke's version of the two-substance doctrine—Theory of Representative Perception—Ideas of primary and secondary qualities and of substances—Knowledge limited to our own ideas—Our consequent ignorance of Reality—Locke's account of Self and of God—His account of "sensitive knowledge"—Its limits unduly extended—Logical result of Locke's theory, ... 1

LECTURE II.

THE PHILOSOPHICAL SCEPTICISM OF DAVID HUME.

Berkeley's criticism of Locke's second substance—Knowledge consists of ideas *and notions*—Reid's

Digitized by Google

remarks on this addition to "the ideal system"—Two ways of developing Berkeley's philosophy—His hints of a rational idealism historically without influence—Hume's reduction of Locke and Berkeley to consistency—Perceptions divisible into impressions and ideas—Inference to a real cause of impressions unwarrantable—Every idea derived from some corresponding impression—Berkeley's (and Locke's) view of the arbitrary nature of natural connection stereotyped by Hume—Though conjoined, our particular perceptions remain entirely "loose"—Hume's account of space and time inconsistent with his sensational atomism—His further explanation of the *illusion* of causal connection, the *illusion* of a permanent world, the *illusion* of personal identity—His covert reintroduction of the Ego—His admissions in the Appendix—Necessity for a reconsideration of the two principles there laid down—Hume's real significance—Current misconceptions of his position, . 33

LECTURE III.

THOMAS REID: SENSATION AND PERCEPTION.

Reid answers Hume by attacking his presuppositions—Fresh analysis of Perception undertaken by Reid and Kant—Reid's account of Sensation and Perception in the 'Inquiry'—Perception involves "natural judgments"—Sensations as suggesting "signs"—Impossibility of deriving Space from feelings—Reid's error in admitting a separate knowledge of sensational signs—Reid's agreement with Kant (1) in vindicating Space as a percept impossible of derivation from sense; and (2) in making Judgment the unit of knowledge—Mutual implication of "natural judgments"—The meaning and limits of explanation, . . . 72

Digitized by Google

LECTURE IV.

REID AND KANT.

Misconceptions due to the unfortunate nomenclature adopted by Scottish philosophers — Comparison of Reid and Kant as to the *proof* which they offer of their "principles"—The transcendental deduction—Reid's defects here—The proof from the structure of language—General estimate of Reid's work—Kant's system as a whole—His conclusions depend on his previous admissions to Hume—Necessary connection, not being derivable from sense, must have a subjective source—Consequences of the consistent development of this view—Kant's unfaithfulness to his own principles—Statement of his conclusions—Useless invention of subjective machinery—The Categories already in things—The proper attitude towards Experientialism—Comparison of Kant with Reid in this respect, ... 106

LECTURE V.

THE RELATIVITY OF KNOWLEDGE: KANT AND HAMILTON.

The Kantian theory leaves us ignorant of reality—The doctrine of the Relativity of Knowledge as stated by Kant and Hamilton—Different lines of thought in the Relativistic argument—Argument from the numerical paucity and peculiar character of our avenues of knowledge—The argument rests on an unwarrantable isolation of the senses—Human reason as a peculiar psychical organisation—Abstract character of the doubt thus suggested—Argument from the relation essentially involved in all knowledge—This view refutes

Digitized by Google

itself—Dependence of the doctrine on a false metaphysic of substance and quality—The logic of abstract identity—True use of the terms phenomenon and noumenon — "The Unknown and Unknowable" — Reason and Faith—Departure of Hamilton and Mansel from the catholic doctrine of Scottish Philosophy, 146

LECTURE VI.

THE POSSIBILITY OF PHILOSOPHY AS SYSTEM: SCOTTISH PHILOSOPHY AND HEGEL.

Is an absolute or completed system possible?—Hamilton's treatment of a similar question—His misconception of Hegel—General estimate of Hamilton—Prejudice against system to be avoided—The idea of system in Kant and Hegel—The Dialectic Method—True scope of Absolute Idealism—Misconceptions due to Hegel's mode of statement—The abstract universal no more real than the abstract particular—The Real as individual, and consequently inexhaustible—Unknown but not Unknowable—Knowledge and Faith—The completed system must remain for us an ideal, but an ideal in which we cannot but believe—The Natural Dualism of Scottish Philosophy not inconsistent with Absolute Idealism—Faults of German Idealism which might have been avoided by a national metaphysic, . 184

Digitized by Google