

User-heterogeneity in Collaborative Search-Teams

Presentation of a PhD-Project
Stefanie Elbeshausen
Department of Information Science &
Natural Language Processing
University of Hildesheim

Outline

- *Collaborative Information Seeking*
 - Definition
 - Trigger and Examples
 - State of the Art
- *User-heterogeneity*
- *Goals*
- *Preliminary Thesis*
- *Research Questions*
- *Next Steps*

Collaborative Information Seeking – Definitions

“ [...]a group of participants intentionally working together in an interactive manner for a common goal [...]”
(Shah 2010, S.96)

“[...] the activities that a group or team of people undertakes to identify and resolve a shared information need [...]”
(Poltrack et al. 2003, S. 239)

Collaborative Information Seeking – Triggers and Examples

- Situations which call for CIS instead of individual searches are usually complex and involve searching through several sessions
- Examples for CIS-Triggers are:
 - Complex Tasks
 - Lack of Expertise
 - Lack of immediately available information
- Examples for areas in which CIS occurs:
 - Emergency Department/Hospital (Reddy et al. 2008)
 - Scientific Research Teams (Spence et al. 2005)
 - Military Context (Sonnenwald&Pierce 2000)
- CIS is a relatively young research field – many aspects are still understudied

- Variety of tools for collaborative Information Seeking (CIS)
 - Usefulness? Users prefer established and familiar tools for CIS, i.e. Email, telephone, smart phone ...
 - Tools for CIS are inadequately evaluated
- Surveys and Experiments on CIS in different Domains, i.e. Healthcare, Military context ...
 - Main subjects: approaches and appearance
- Surveys and Experiments on CIS-triggers
 - Which factors trigger CIS?

- Open questions in CIS Research:

- What tools are required to enhance existing methods of collaboration, given a specific domain?
- How to evaluate various aspects of collaborative information seeking, including system and user performance?
- How to measure the costs and benefits of collaboration?
- What are the information seeking situations in which collaboration is beneficial? When does it not pay off?
- How can we measure the performance of a collaborative group?
- How can we measure the contribution of an individual in a collaborative group?
- What sorts of retrieval algorithms can be used to combine input from multiple searchers?
- What kinds of algorithmic mediation can improve team performance?

(Shah 2012)

- People working or searching together in teams are not a homogenous mass but individuals
- So far there exist no studies in regards to user-heterogeneity in collaborative search teams
- Assumption: depending on inter alia personal traits people behave variably in teams, i.e. have a different affinity to teamwork and team-building, behave differently in teams, have different ways to communicate with each other and so on

- Identify influences connected with personality on team performance and collaborative search
- Deviate Search strategies from the findings
- Deviate recommendations and support measures for the design of collaborative search
- Development of a model which implements searcher-heterogeneity in regards to search role and preferred search strategy

- How do Teams organize collaborative search?
 - Which Search Strategies are carried out?
 - Which resources are used?
 - What kind of processes can be examined?

- How is Collaborative Search shaped?
 - Which keywords are used?
 - How do people discuss and compare search results?
 - How can the quality of search results be measured?



- Is it possible to examine a certain behavior in CIS which is connected with the personality of searchers?
 - Do there exist roles or personas which are carried out during CIS?
 - If there are such roles how do they, in connection with personality traits, shape their search?
 - In which way interact different personalities during CIS?

- Do the findings lead to a new model of CIS?
 - Is it possible to integrate user-heterogeneity in this model?
 - Is it possible to expand existing models and integrate CIS-structures?



Preliminary Thesis

- There exist differences in the search behavior of individuals when they search collaboratively
- Personality of searchers does have an influence on the search behavior
- Personality of searchers does have an influence on team performance
- Attitude and affinity towards Teamwork have an influence on search performance in collaborative search scenarios

- Online Survey of CIS in academic and collegiate teams
 - Survey to collect basic data in regards to frequency and implementation of CIS and personal trait influences on teamwork
- User Study
 - Examination of CIS in collegiate teams
- Diary Study
 - Still open



- Borlund, Pia; Ingwersen, Peter (1999): The Application of Work Tasks in connection with the Evaluation of Interactive Information Retrieval Systems: Empirical Results. Final Mira Conference 1999, Glasgow.
- Golovchinsky, Gene; Qvarfordt, Pernilla; Pickens, Jeremy (2009): Beyond Search. Collaborative Information Seeking. In: Information Seeking Support Systems. NSF Workshop on Information Seeking Support Systems, 46-50. Online verfügbar unter: http://ils.unc.edu/ISSS/ISSS_final_report.pdf
- Karamuftuoglu, Murat (1998): Collaborative Information Retrieval: Toward a Social Informatics View of IR Interaction. In: Journal Of The American Society For Information Science, 49(12), 1070-1080
- Kußmann, Tanja; Elbeshausen, Stefanie; Mandl, Thomas; Womser-Hacker-Christa: Discovering Ellis' Phases of Information Seeking Behavior in Collaborative Search Processes. In: Proceedings of Collaborative Information Retrieval Workshop at CSCW 2013. San Antonio, Texas. Online verfügbar unter: collab.infoseeking.org/resources/papers/cis2013/KuBmannPaper.pdf
- Paul, Shadora A. (2010): Understanding Together: Sensemaking in Collaborative Information Seeking. Dissertation. Pennsylvania State University, College of Information Sciences and Technology.
- Poltrock, Steven; Grudin, Jonathan; Dumais, Susan; Fidel, Raya; Bruce, Harry; Mark Pejtersen, Annelise (2003): Information Seeking and Sharing in Design Teams. In: GROUP '03 Proceedings of the 2003 international ACM SIGGROUP conference on Supporting group work.
- Reddy, Madhu C.; Spence, Patricia Ruma (2008): Collaborative Information Seeking: A field study of a multidisciplinary patient care team. In: Information Processing & Management. 44(1), 242-255
- Reddy, Madhu C.; Jansen, Bernard J. (2008): A model for understanding collaborative information behaviour in context: a study of two healthcare teams. In: Information Processing & Management 44(1), 256 - 273.
- Shah, Chirag (2010): A Framework for supporting User-Centric Collaborative Information Seeking. University of South Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Information & Library Science , Diss.
- Shah, C. (2012). *Information Retrieval: Collaborative Information Seeking: the Art and Science of Making the Whole Greater Than the Sum of All*. Springer.
- Sonnenwald, Diane H.; Pierce, Linda G. (2000): Information behavior in dynamic group work contexts: interwoven situational awareness, dense social networks and contested collaboration in command and control. Information Processing and Management, 36, 461-479.
- Weller, Ingo; Matiaske, Wenzel (2009): Gütekriterien einer deutschsprachigen Version der Mini-Markers zur Erfassung der „Big Five“. Berichte der Werkstatt für Organisations- und Personalforschung e.V., Bericht Nr. 16 ISSN 1615-8261, Berlin.